



## **NOTICE OF MEETING AND AGENDA**

### **SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a meeting of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Board has been called and will be held at 4:00 p.m., Tuesday, May 26, 2020.

Due to the Governor's Declaration of Emergency Directive 006 on Public Meetings, Clark County will not provide a physical location for the public to attend the meeting of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Board scheduled on the date and time above.

Live streaming of the meeting is available at [www.ClarkCountyNV.gov](http://www.ClarkCountyNV.gov). Under "Stay Connected" Click on Meetings Agendas, and then select "Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Meeting – 5/26/2020".

If you wish to comment on an agenda item, you may send an email to [zoning@clarkcountynv.gov](mailto:zoning@clarkcountynv.gov). Please include the item number in the "subject" portion of email. Also provide your name in the email and indicate whether you would like your comment publicly read at the hearing or submitted into the record without being read. Only the first 50 words of each comment will be read at the hearing. The entire comment will be included on the written record for the item.

1. **Call to Order.**
2. **Roll Call and Compliance with the Open Meeting Law.**
3. **Public Comment.** Comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters on the agenda for action.
4. Approval of the Agenda for May 26, 2020 meeting of the SNRPC. (For possible action)
5. Approve the Minutes of the May 7, 2020 SNRPC meeting. (For possible action)
6. Receive a progress report from the Regional Trails and Open Space Workgroup. (For possible action)
7. Discussion to approve the FY 2020-2021 SNRPC Work Plan and Budget. (For possible action)
8. Receive the agreement for Facilitation Services for the SNRPC Regional Open Space and Trails Workgroup FY 2020-20201 Contract. (For possible action)
9. Discussion the role(s) and structure for the future of the SNRPC. (For possible action)

10. **Citizens Participation.** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Board. No subject may be acted upon by the Commission that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action.

11. **Adjournment.**

**Please be aware of the following: that items on the agenda may be taken out of order; the SNRPC Board may combine two or more agenda items for consideration; the SNRPC Board may remove an item from the agenda or delay discussion relating to an item on the agenda at any time; and the SNRPC Board may impose a time limit for speaking on an item on the agenda where public comment or testimony is allowed.**

**Notice to persons with special needs: For those requiring special assistance or accommodation at the meeting, please contact Jenny Penney at (702) 455-5019 at least 72 hours in advance. “Relay Nevada”, a service provider for hearing or speech impaired persons, may be contacted by dialing 7-1-1.**

#### **AGENDA POSTING**

Notice/Agenda was posted per Nevada Open Meeting Law requirements at the following locations:

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, NV  
City of Las Vegas, 495 S. Main Street, Las Vegas, NV  
City of North Las Vegas, 2250 Las Vegas Boulevard N., North Las Vegas, NV  
City of Henderson, 240 S. Water Street, Henderson, NV  
City of Boulder City, 401 California Avenue, Boulder City, NV  
Clark County School District, 5100 W. Sahara Avenue, Las Vegas, NV  
[www.SNRPC.org](http://www.SNRPC.org)

Agenda and back up materials can be found on the SNRPC.org website or obtained upon request. Contact Jenny Penney at (702) 455-5019 or [Jennifer.Penney@ClarkCountyNV.gov](mailto:Jennifer.Penney@ClarkCountyNV.gov) upon request.

**MEETING MINUTES**

**COALITION BOARD**

**SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION**

**May 7, 2020**

**In attendance:** Commissioner Justin Jones, Clark County  
Councilman Brian Knudsen, City of Las Vegas  
Councilman Scott Black, City of North Las Vegas (via teleconference)  
Councilwoman Claudia Bridges, City of Boulder City  
Councilman Richard Cherchio, Vice Chair, City of North Las Vegas (via teleconference)  
Councilwoman Victoria Seaman, City of Las Vegas  
Councilman Dan H. Stewart, City of Henderson (via teleconference)  
Councilman Dan Shaw, City of Henderson  
Commissioner Tick Segerblom, Clark County

**Absent:** Trustee Lola Brooks, Clark County School District

---

**Agenda Item 1. Call to Order; notice of agenda conformance with Nevada Open Meeting Law Requirements**

The meeting of the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition Board was called to order by Commissioner Jones of Clark County at 4:04 P.M., on Thursday, May 7, 2020, in the Clark County Commission Chambers at 500 Grand Central South, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89155.

**Agenda Item 2. Roll Call**

Members of the SNRPC Coalition Board, as listed above, were present at the time of roll call with the exception of Richard Cherchio, City of North Las Vegas, who later teleconferenced in at 4:20 p.m.

**Agenda Item 3. Public Comment**

No public comment was made.

**Agenda Item 4. Approval of the Agenda for May 7, 2020**

A motion was made by Councilman Knudsen to approve the agenda for the May 7, 2020 meeting. The motion was approved unanimously.

### **Agenda Item 5. Approval of the Minutes for the February 25, 2020 meeting**

A motion was made Councilman Knudsen to approve the minutes for the February 25, 2020 meeting. The motion was approved unanimously.

### **Agenda Item 6. Receive a presentation from Nevada Business Information Network and Southern Nevada chambers of commerce representative regarding the regional response to the COVID-19 pandemic.**

Betsy Fretwell with Switch stated that a group of individuals came together to help create clear lines of communication between all of Nevada business associations across the State, trade associations, traders of commerce, and economic development agencies. A part of the presentation was Peter Guzman from the Latin Chamber of Commerce, Mary Beth Sewald from the Vegas Chamber, Scott Muelrath from Henderson Chamber of Commerce, and Jonas Peterson from Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance.

GOED Director Michael Brown asked for help in making sure that they are getting information into and from the business community statewide. The group has been putting together a series of conference calls and working sessions since March to develop a robust network to achieve that. Ms. Fretwell mentioned that she has lived in Las Vegas, NV for nearly 30 years and this is one of the most collaborative efforts that she has seen across the state to bring a business network that is useful for both communication and for policy development.

The Nevada Business Information Network laid out a business communication network that LVGEA has done an incredible job of hosting a website. They have been working with GOED on coordinating and aggregating the impact data, providing a full circle one stop shop, streamlining a way for businesses to be aware of what is going on, and providing feedback related to the policies and governmental activities at all levels of government across the state as well as federal. They are working with United Way who is their point of contact for the NGO groups and keeping an eye on the food supply issues. The group has been focusing on making sure to organize a Business Emergency Operations Committee that includes individuals from the North, South, and rural Nevada. They have put together teams, some are more active than others, but they still stand ready to go.

Peter Guzman with the Latin Chamber of Commerce, Rally to the Cause, stated that when the virus hit Las Vegas, the group organized and got together. When he talks about the collaboration, some day it will be spoken about on what an incredible moment this was where the north, and rural got together for one cause.

Mary Beth Sewald, Vegas Chamber, Policy & Recovery, stated that Betsy Fretwell is the Chair of the Business Emergency Operations Committee and it consists of leaders and representatives from Chambers of Commerce, Economic Development Authority, Philanthropy conveyers, and state agencies. The group meets two to three times per week, and the committee is designed to connect the business community, policy makers, and elected officials. Its purpose is to serve as a central hub of information gathering, identifying emerging policy issues, and they have been adopting practices throughout the state in regard to economic recovery. It also provides information to

GOED and the Governor's COVID-19 Task Force on hourly or daily basis. With the BEOC, encouraging relationships has been very important and resources to help move policy priorities forward, not only federal but for local and state as well. They have also been coordinating business issues from the state Trade Associations and the group meets virtually every Friday. They have been providing assistance to the development of the re-opening plans as well.

The State Business Policy Task Force consist of in-house government affairs teams at the Business and Henderson Chambers along with support from their respected lobbying teams which includes the Porter Group, Black and Lobello, and CarreraNevada. This task force has been critical because it provides supports to BEOC by focusing on the policy and lobbying efforts that are related to COVID-19 like economic recovery and emerging legislation at state and federal levels. It's also focused on centralizing information and data collection then sharing the information with the BEOC and the other partners.

Getting to Phase 1, the BEOC is the informational and policy conduit for Local Empowerment Advisory Panel (LEAP). The Governor's Nevada United road map to recovery plan is federally supported, state managed, and locally executed. The Governors plan created the LEAP which is chaired by Clark County Commissioner Marilyn Kirkpatrick and Eureka County Commissioner JJ Goicoechea. The BEOC is working on supporting the efforts of LEAP by providing staff resources, technical expertise, what are best practices, and re-opening plans that they have collected from other states and feedback from the Nevada business community. They will continue to work with LEAP as they move forward through phase 1 which they were entering, and they will be happy to work toward other phases and milestones as directed by the Governor's recovery plan.

Scott Muelrath, Henderson Chamber of Commerce, stated that an effort like this has never been undertaken in Nevada before and as Peter Guzman emphasized this is built on collaboration, it is a network serving as informational conduit to what turned out to be a vast audience. They relied on about a dozen formal distribution points, which were some of the Chambers of Commerce together with the LVGEA, EDawn, and the Moonridge Group. These hubs distributed information to a vast network of other organizations and businesses throughout the state. The diversity of participating organizations was key because this allowed us to connect the State's very diversified demographics that can be based on region, jurisdiction, mission, ethnicities, and other demographics and this extended to the most rural parts of the state.

There is a tremendous focus on community as this response is way more than business. Philanthropy or volunteerism are common things for Business Information Network (BIN) to push out and promote all of the community-oriented PSA's that have been produced replaced print articles such as Seven Tips for virtual volunteerism and community engagement in the Reno Gazette Journal and a road map for philanthropy in the Las Vegas Sun. As Peter Guzman mentioned, COVID Kindness started in the North and that program morphed to Switch to Kindness in the South. The program is implemented by the Vegas Chamber, Switch, and the Las Vegas Metro Police Department Foundation. Both programs are designed to connect gift card donations from small business to the first responders. In turn they went from South to North programming led by groups such as the Moonridge Group, Julie Murray, and projects such as Delivering with Dignity that provided over 20,000 fresh meals thus far to shut in, medically fragile seniors. Hope Mean Nevada is another example. There is another statewide campaign to raise awareness about

the mental health challenges in these times, especially amongst the teenage population. The Henderson Chamber Foundation in turn, through the community care platform, recently partnered with Serving Our Kids Foundation to feed hungry kids at home through the pandemic. BIN continues to promote these programs and many more with a focus on philanthropy and volunteerism, recognizing the communities pulling together in collaboration which is key to coming out on the other side.

Jonas Peterson, Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance, stated that the group is looking at further down the road to reimagine, strengthen, and to rebuild the economy. They know the need is going to be great with unemployment at 25% or more with 250,000 or more Nevadans going through unemployment insurance. It will not be enough for them to focus on reopening and helping existing businesses re-hire. The good news is that there will be significant and unique opportunities over the next 2 to 3 years as the state emerges out of the pandemic to create new high wage jobs for the residents if they can make sure that they have the tools, resources, and team in place to go after those opportunities. A robust economic effort is going to be necessary. Reassuring companies that no longer are willing to risk operations abroad. Another opportunity is recruiting firms within supply chains that have been disrupted and the firms are looking for a new home. There will be an opportunity to build on the growing manufacturing and logistics space. Surround that with complimentary companies and work force that has been an area of strength for Nevada as cost sensitive companies look for new locations. Moving forward, they are expecting it to be a round of new, innovative companies and startups that will fill in the gaps by others. As firms go bankrupt or discontinue, there will be gaps out there and other firms will step in to fill those roles. They want them to be located in Nevada. There are some potential opportunities out there and Nevada can be aggressive over the next couple of years to capture those opportunities. They look forward to partnering with GOED. They know that there are planning efforts underway and starting to look at the recovery phase, and they look forward to partnering with them and other business partners to come up with the best plan possible. More than ever Nevada needs to be more collaborative, across the region and state.

Betsy Fretwell stated that she feels as if everyone will agree that the initial goals that were laid out in early discussion with Michael Brown have brought the Business Information Network and all of their activities to focus on GOED as they have gone on doing their job during this response and leading us into recovery that has helped provide information to the Governor in a timely manner for him to consider as he is dealing with the Help Pandemic Response and economic recovery response while balancing out those public health responsibilities. BIN has been helping the task force to be a communication network for them, but as those conversations start towards recovery, it opens the door for further collaboration and setting a strategic framework out. BIN will continue to be a resource and asset to all the different efforts. Ms. Fretwell feels as if there is a real opportunity depending on how SNRPC moves forward, for BIN to plug into future conversations that they may have about projects of regional significance, economic recovery, nonprofit network, and other community support services become relevant in all the conversations.

Councilman Knudsen asked what is our role in supporting business reopening in an effective way? What are the resources and tools Mr. Peterson mentioned? Ms. Fretwell mentioned projects of regional significance, what is the role of government in general to start defining or looking towards projects of regional significance, and how can we do that to meet our business community?

Mr. Peterson stated that re-opening is not enough. Not only can businesses reopen and hire back as many employees as possible but recognizing that we will need to replace lost jobs, and some firms are going to discontinue operations or not hire back their full work force. From his point of view, we should to push hard for new job creation. What is supporting our target industry and do anything to attract high wage and high job count firms to come here and fill the gaps to create new job opportunities to compensate for the jobs that have been lost along the way. Nevada will need a more robust strategy and economic development effort. There is a team effort underway to identify the best ideas, go after them and figure out what that will cost will be, in terms of resources Nevada will need for funding, staff, and tools. Looking at the incentives, the environment has shifted, Mr. Peterson thinks that Nevada needs to be aggressive and incentives are a part of that.

Ms. Fretwell stated that later in the agenda there is presentation about the CARES Act, there is flexibility in the CARES Act particularly in light of the recent guidelines that have come down. This gives us an opportunity as a state and those as regional leaders to have a good conversation about how to rebuild the smart way that will prepare us for whatever the future will look like. There may be an opportunity to use some of those funds in unique ways to help jump-start some of the conversations and activities.

Commissioner Segerblom asked if the expected current economic development efforts are tied to any taxes, sales tax, or room tax. Taxes that would be significantly lower which means that the current effort, unless we do something, they will be significantly less?

Ms. Fertwell stated that there is no direct funding for the Chamber of Commerce, LVGEA, and Latin Chamber of Commerce. All the participating groups have a membership-based organization to a degree. The answer would be no, but there is some impact of a decrease in revenue at the local and state level which may impact the ability for those organizations to continue their membership.

Commissioner Jones thanked those for participating and felt as if it was beneficial to the board as they figure out what type of roles the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition might play in the recovery efforts as they move forward.

**Agenda Item 7. Receive a presentation regarding federal legislation providing economic relief from the COVID-19 pandemic.**

Nancy Brune, Ph.D. stated that in the CARES Act there are 6 different pots of money and with 4 of them prefaced with what they are allocated to support. The first is the Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) of about \$342 billion because there was such tremendous demand. A couple of weeks ago they released a second funding and the total is about \$525 billion. Almost 31,000 small businesses received \$4 billion in PPP assistance in Nevada. The second pot is the Assistance for Businesses & Families is the extra unemployment assistance. \$600 per week that supplements existing state unemployment benefits as well as the average \$1,200 per family depending on income and is around \$500 billion. The third pot deals with Health, Education & Labor Provisions. There is about \$100 billion in this pot to purchase PPE equipment and includes provisions related to education and grants to help students that receive work study and funding to seek relief with CARES Act. The fourth pot is Assistance to Distressed Industries and is about \$500 billion in assistance to the airline industry. The fifth is the Coronavirus Relief Funds of \$150 billion for

state and local governments. At a very minimum based on the population, each state was guaranteed about \$1 billion. Nevada received \$1.2 billion because it is not a state with a large population which, and the distribution is about \$830 million for the state, about \$280 million for Clark County, and \$360 million for the City of Las Vegas. The conditions on the funding that was disbursed to state and local governments were it had to be related to necessary expenditures for public health emergency, expenditures that were not accounted for in state and local budgets as of March 27<sup>th</sup> and allows for expenditures that have been realized since March 1<sup>st</sup> through the end of the year. The sixth pot is Misc. Funds. There are 64 appropriations that will involve about 64 departments. Included in the Misc. Funds is a little over \$100 billion for hospitals, Veterans healthcare, \$45 billion for FEMA disaster relief fund, \$16 billion for strategic national stockpile, \$4.3 billion for the CDC, and \$11 billion for vaccines and other medical needs. There are 5 different appropriations subcommittees.

McKinsey & Associates did an analysis looking at the funds that are available and they argued that 10% of the funds will be allocated to programs that will require augmentation of existing benefit programs that state and local governments already have. It wouldn't require any new capacity or new investment from state or local governments to take advantage and execute and implement that funding opportunity.

About 65-75% of funding will require state and local governments to revisit and or amend the way they allocate the funding to third party service providers or non-profits.

Commissioner Jones asked Ms. Brune to clarify at the local government level are there specific instances where they should be cognizant of the way they do things in order to qualify for grants? Ms. Brune stated that the answer is yes. Nevada just started looking at what those could be and that she doesn't have specifics now, but potentially SNRPC could look at or other groups.

The final point Ms. Brune made was that according to McKinsey, about 25% of the funds would require significant investment in new capabilities.

The GUINN center has spoken out frequently over the last few years about partnering with the Nevada Key Foundation, Southern Nevada Strong, and United Way. Historically, Nevada has not done a great job at securing federal grants. On a population basis Federal Fiscal year 2017, Nevada ranked 48<sup>th</sup> out of 51 which includes the District of Columbia in federal financial assistance per-capita. That is all federal financial assistance which includes grants, benefits, etc.

Commissioner Jones asked Ms. Brune are Arizona and New Mexico doing right?

Ms. Brune stated that due to New Mexico being a poor state with more poverty than Nevada, they received more federal assistance.

Ms. Brune stated that looking at grants specifically, Nevada does not fare well among the Inter-Mountain West State peers. In fiscal year 2017, Nevada ranked 44<sup>th</sup> in the country and federal grants per capita. In 2015 there was a slight bump up due to expanding Medicaid. From all the assistance Nevada receives a small portion is through federal grants. Nevada struggled through the first round of CARES Act funding and ranked 50<sup>th</sup> in the percentage



of small businesses that received PPP loans after the first round. Only 3.2% of Nevada small businesses received paycheck protection while the national average was 5%. There are some structural issues as to why Nevada didn't do as well. We have an underbanked system and there was a fewer number of banks helping Nevada's small businesses and a lot of the big banks capped it at \$10 million and didn't accept new customers. After the second round, Nevada did better with 11% of Nevada's small businesses received PPP loan assistance, but overall Nevada ranked 44<sup>th</sup> in the country. After the first and second round of funding from PPP the CARES Act, Nevada ranked 33<sup>rd</sup> in total value of loans and 36<sup>th</sup> in total number of PPP loans.

Ms. Brune believes that there are things that can be done to maximize Nevada's ability to secure CARES funding, one recommendation would be to invest in grant writing capacity. Kansas outperformed Nevada in the first and second round of PPP funding because their Department of Commerce hired ten grant writers leading up to the PPP program being executed. A lot of Nevada's agencies do not have adequate grant writing capacity. GOED is looking at starting a new technical education program that would address the workforce needs that relates to public health capacity. Finding out what GOED's grant writing capacity needs are and provide technical support to help Nevada's agencies to respond to grants. Another point is that Nevada is ranked 43<sup>rd</sup> for its state apparatus. It has 42 state workers for every 10,000 residents, and compared to Alaska, they have 245 state workers for every 10,000 residence. Asking the local and state governments to respond in light speed because Nevada has a very bare state apparatus, and groups such as SNRPC, non-profit, philanthropy and informal business networks that can support grant writing, it would help Nevada secure some of the funds available.

Ms. Brune stated that another recommendation would be to develop and identify a state and regional strategy to help align and coordinate going after grants and the implementation of programs. Another recommendation would be to identify and establish a coalition of state and regional leaders to coordinate and track grant applications and deadlines. There isn't a clearing house, and they don't know who is applying for grants. Ms. Brune reached out to CSN to see if they were applying for grants, and one of their staff members didn't realize that CSN had already been involved and working with GOED. It would be great to have a central location where folks can coordinate across Nevada to find out who is applying for grants, to coordinate the applications, deadlines, and to track how successful Nevada is. Finally, the community should explore setting up a federal assisting working group, that could be under the office of SNRPC or the task force that within the Nevada Business Information Network.

Commissioner Sergerblom stated that Ms. Brune did not mention the federal reserve's bond program where Clark County could get \$700 million. Was it a potential oversight or not aware?

Ms. Brune stated that she could not offer anything additional on what was shared already and the last time she spoke to Mr. Hobbs about the bonding opportunity they were in conversations to identify the constraints and what was going to be permissible.

Councilman Knudsen made a couple of points from the previous presentation that projects of regional significance and that Ms. Brune mentioned a couple of times the CARES funding in particular provides opportunities to come down at a rapid speed and feels that they are important to SNRPC and her recommendations are spot on. Councilman Knudsen asked if she was aware of

other groups working to increase Nevada's grant writing capacity as a region or state.

Ms. Brune stated that there are informal conversations happening across the state and she knows that this is an issue at the forefront of the State Treasurer's office and knows of those who have called and offered to write grants. The takeaway is that to move forward and be successful, they need institutional support and resources directed at this effort.

Councilman Knudsen asked Ms. Brune if she is familiar with the need or requirement around regional plans, such as the Southern Nevada Strong plan that we have in our community, and how useful or likely are those as to points of interest from a federal funding perspective?

Ms. Brune stated they could be jumping off points, but she is not sure as to whether the applications or grants would require them to submit a regional plan as evidence or as a requirement of a part of the grant. This is a great opportunity to work collaboratively across the regions as public health and economic crisis do not stop at jurisdictional borders. Getting together as a region and state to integrate and identify a single strategy or several strategies that have regional integration and are done in alignment, she feels as if it would be successful and move Nevada forward.

Councilman Shaw asked if there was any conversation about fixing the technical problems that are inherent to these programs as they come out rapidly? He has been hearing from his small business constituents, when they applied for PPP Program and they received the loan, most of their employees applied for unemployment and they receive in excess of what the business pays. For the small business to use that loan, they must keep all the employees employed and the employees don't want to go back to work because they would take a pay cut to when going back. The employee wants to stay on unemployment, so that puts the employee in a bind and the employer in a bind because they won't be able to receive the forgiveness and if the employees go back to work, they will get a pay cut. Is there a way to solve that issue?

Ms. Brune stated that she recommends Councilman Shaw reach out to the congressional delegation and she has heard similar stories across the valley and thinks that it has already been brought to the congressional delegates. It is true that with a lot of grants programs, there's an opportunity to weigh in and provide public input on regulations and how it will look when implemented. It could be the case that because the federal government is trying to disburse the money, and they won't have the time to have those conversations, there will probably be more technical mismatches along the way with some of the grant programs. One of the recommendations coming from McKinsey if we don't have a strong relationship with the federal agencies, now would be a good time get on a first name basis, to strengthen those relationships with federal agencies.

Councilman Shaw stated that the County received \$280 million based on population that is allocated amongst all of the cities and in the spirit of cooperation, he is hopeful that there is some method of transparency that all of the cities are treated in the same fashion as how expenses are allocated with whatever programs they mutually agree on and if there are funds left over, how to divide those up fairly amongst the jurisdictions.

Commissioner Jones thinks there needs to be collaboration amongst the three jurisdictions that received funds, the State, County, and City of Las Vegas because the only way they will be able

to allocate the dollars equitably is through collaboration. Part of the discussion at the County was that the State said they didn't want to push money down to the jurisdictions that were less than 500,000 people, but there is no confirmation of that. The SNRPC should play a role in the discussions along with the City of Las Vegas, and State because they won't be able to accomplish as much with the \$1.25 billion that was collectively received as the State of Nevada unless everyone coordinates with one another.

**Agenda Item 8. Receive a presentation regarding the regional Greenhouse Gas Emissions inventory.**

Marco Velotta, City of Las Vegas, stated that this is an update to the previous presentations and high-level assessment of things that have been drafted and carried forward from the City of Las Vegas 2050 Masterplan as a part of the conservation goals that are apart of NRS 278. General takeaways from over the past decade, their energy portfolio dramatically changed over time as coal has become eliminated from the portfolio. Greenhouse gas emissions mitigation and adaptation shown some success and transportation-based emission can be further reduced through electric motor shift. The inventory can help provide a general set of a transit, but it shows where some of the limits are. There is no projection that has been developed, but there are opportunities for further collaboration with NDEP and the State's emission inventory.

A part of the overall, they used tools available through a carbon disclosure project using IPCC guidelines and protocols, and they collected some data from the utility companies, NDOT, RTC, NDEP and U.S. EPA to get a base line of what Scope 1, 2, and 3 emissions are for both mobile and stationary sources. However, there are some limitations. They can't quantify everything, they are getting some of the high-level information, but things are missing from specific sources. For example, Boulder City and Overton Power District are not necessarily quantified. Mining and manufacturing have been getting involved and there are also emissions from agricultural forestry and other land uses, they don't have anything concrete on those because agriculture is so limited in Nevada and emission from other land types are relatively small in Southern Nevada. The other limit is mobile emissions. There are better ways to quantify emissions from transportation such as off highway transport, rail and aviation as they have a general idea on what the energy consumption is from those emission coming. It is premature to say whether those are accurate.

Stationary emissions their portfolio of energy has gotten a lot cleaner over the past couple of years. Most of NV Energy's own facilities are natural gas, and their grid is a lot cleaner now and they have seen a corresponding reduction in the overall emissions from NV Energy's generating facilities.

Regarding electricity to residential, commercial, and industrial sectors they consumed about 22.2 billion kilowatt hours of electricity and a billion metric therms of natural gas last year. Those are from all types and different uses in every single jurisdiction within the region. There is about 182 million MMBTUs for stationary sources overall. The numbers have gone down due to policy changes, having the renewable portfolio standard changed in the 2019 session, and having the progressive steps over the last decade year by year that NV Energy must apply with has resulted in a cleaner grid.

Regional energy mobile sources they know that vehicle miles traveled over the last year has

steadily increased. They don't know what types of fuel or types of vehicles they are coming from. They're taking averages and using the functional classification of roadways to take those estimates and they also don't know like interstate throughput or freight based emissions, but they do know that the fuel economy has been improving. At the NDEP presentation, questions were brought up regarding what the future of transportation will be. Can improving fuel economy standards be sustained, what is going to be the impact of transportation network companies, and what is going to happen with renewables transportation electrification.

The major issue is modal split. Southern Nevada is still a community that is a single occupant vehicle. Up until the pandemic, there was an increase in overall transit ridership, and they do have On Board as a future capacity transit plan that could help mitigate emissions.

The other source of emissions is waste, and they had a lot of success keeping waste emissions down. The landfill energy that is renewable and is operational at the region's landfills able to quantify emissions from EPA from APEX and Sunrise landfills and because of that we are able to keep emissions down. The solid waste rate has been changing as well with the recycling center since the recycling rate has been gradually increasing. Looking at regional emissions and apply all the coefficients together, there is a gradual decline overall.

With a decrease in emissions and an increase in population up to this point, the per capita emissions have been stepping down. Southern Nevada still has a high rate for the community, but it has been declining over the past 6 years. Comparing to the state emissions inventory, there is the same trend. They would have to project how different trends and models, how different policies, investments, and how infrastructure affect emissions.

With respect to the drought, the Southern Nevada Water authority has done a lot. The water infrastructure at Lake Mead, the construction of the third intake on the low level pumping station, the strategies with restrictions and education, helps insulate us as a community because as Lake Mead continues to decline and it is projected to continue to decline as there will be less acre feet of water across the Colorado River system for municipal and agricultural use. Since the 1980's Nevada has been proactive to control floods and Southern Nevada has its normal spots. The regional flood control network has helped reduce the impact of storms and flash flooding. There will be continued risk for intense storms, increased frequency, and more rapid precipitation that will be seen in the monsoon season.

There is a higher risk for intense heat waves with greater temperatures and longer durations and we do have a nexus with the urban heat island effect. The temperatures in the 90s continue to increase over time, and it has an impact on the vulnerable communities as well on infrastructure and service provision.

Mr. Velotta stated that it is hard to say what 2020 holds due to the pandemic. They have heard that there is a decline in emissions over all and an improvement in environmental conditions. They know that there has been an impact on public transportation and vehicle miles traveled which will show once they go through another round of modeling a year from now. The impact on the tourism industry, energy consumption and different energy factors are going to shift between sectors. There might be more use in residential than commercial. Other factors might be involved like an

increase in temperature during the summer might have an impact on residential because the cooling load might go up.

Jodi Bechtel, Clark County Department of Environment and Sustainability, stated that another thing to consider as Southern Nevada reopens and starts to build is Gashouse Emissions. The Department of Environmental and Sustainability is offering to update the 2014 Regional GHG Emissions Inventory, and they can address several of the limitations that Marco Velotta noted such as adding relevant emissions from additional industries, evaluating carbon sinks and emissions, and the impacts of trees, vegetation, urban forestry, and agriculture that were not included in the 2014 Regional GHG Emissions Inventory and they weren't included in the states emission inventory. This will help to give a better understanding on where emissions are coming from in the region. Efforts are being done across the region and in the communities for mitigation efforts to reduce GHG emissions and establish future projection, mitigation, adaptation, goals, milestones, and strategies. Potential to include reduction benefits for those reduction efforts that can be quantified and evaluate resilience planning and adaptation strategies for things like extreme heat and the other issues that Mr. Velotta mentioned. Ms. Bechtel stated that since this board is a regional planning coalition, it is well suited to establish shared goals and metrics that they all may adopt when it comes to GHG emissions. That was one thing that was not included in the 2014 plan in that it didn't set goals or metrics for everyone to aim for and that is something the Department of Environmental and Sustainability can work with the SNRPC Planning Directors group and possibly the SNRPC Coalition Board to consider adopting and having each of the member agencies consider to adopt as well. Each member entity can continue with their own actions, develop their own sustainability and climate action plans, but they would all be using similar methodologies and approaches and when combining them as a regional comprehensive perspective it would be comparing apples to apples instead of apples to oranges and it would be easier to understand on a regional level and roll it up to the state level, and national level as apart of the County coalition. The Department of Environment and Sustainability is offering assistance in finding a collective reporting system. If everyone is doing their own actions using similar metric and methodologies, they can roll it into a similar reporting system to allow them to have a better understanding on what is going on regionally.

Commissioner Segerblom asked if it is possible to say how much emissions each entity produces in order to set goals.

Ms. Bechtel stated that it would be a challenge because what Marco Velotta is reporting, there are a lot of regional efforts that aren't necessarily coinciding with the County and City boundaries.

Mr. Velotta stated that they do have the ability to break it down by jurisdiction. NV Energy and Southwest Gas data breaks down each sector or jurisdiction. They are able to get that level for stationary combustion, and they can look at mobile emissions the same way looking at specifically different break downs for the transportation network. The City of Las Vegas inventory for the 2050 aster plan is the City of Las Vegas itself and the municipal emissions and operations.

Commissioner Segerblom asked if it was possible to look at the airport to figure out how much they are producing and the school district for their diesel busses.

Ms. Bechtel stated that is what they can do is drill down to the specifics of what is going on within our own community.

Commissioner Jones stated that he believes the airport has been going through that process and the County has the information from the airport.

A motion was made by Commissioner Jones and seconded by Commissioner Segerblom to accept the Clark County Department of Environment and Sustainability's offer to update the Regional Greenhouse Gas Emissions inventory and to report back to SNRPC. The motion was approved unanimously.

Commissioner Jones: Yes  
Councilman Knudsen: Yes  
Councilman Black: Yes  
Councilwoman Bridges: Yes  
Councilwoman Seaman: Yes  
Commissioner Segerblom: Yes  
Councilman Stewart: Yes

Yes: 7 No: 0

Commissioner Jones stated that they need to adopt a budget and to meet at the scheduled May meeting and Mr. Marion was going to conduct a workshop before the pandemic and to accomplish that in the same format in the Commission Chambers.

**Agenda Item 9. Receive a presentation regarding the Southern Nevada Strong Regional Plan's vision related to economic recovery efforts that could be applicable in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.**

Craig Raborn, RTC MPO Director, stated that the role and function for Southern Nevada Strong (SNS) is to identify the recommendations for the long-term outcome. A plan like this isn't built to make recommendations about immediate needs for the next 6, 12, or 18 months. It is intended to look over the horizon and the actions that can be taken over the next 2 to 3 or 20 years out, so that the region becomes stronger and more resilient to shocks and crises like we are experiencing now. The second point Mr. Raborn made is that SNS was built in very large part to guide a long-term recovery for Southern Nevada in the last economic crisis. The top issues in a 2013 poll were jobs, economy, and schools. Others like land use, housing, and transportation were also important, but they were secondary. Those issues became the long-term recovery focused elements that the SNS plan concentrated on. Third would be Southern Nevada Strong reflects a very broad community stakeholder involvement. There is a very extensive community engagement process with more than 70,000 touch points that were a part of SNS. The effort was significant enough the plan won a national planning award from the American Planning Association for its engagement. As a community driven project there were groups and task forces throughout the plan development that represented stakeholders and experts from the community looking at economic development, housing, education, equity, transportation, environment, public health, and a few other issues. The Southern Nevada Strong plan reflects exactly what Southern Nevadans

said they wanted and needed in the recovery and aftermath of the great recession. Southern Nevada Strong envisioned a wide distribution of roles for stakeholders to implement those recommendations. The plan that was agreed to in 2015 and then the RTC agreed to administer the plan to promote it and logically build on it to help build the capacity for others to implement it.

The pieces of Southern Nevada Strong fit well to build strength and resilience that the plan was trying to accomplish. Regional planners like himself think about a system that consists of three main parts - the people and what their needs are to survive, the economy and what it needs in order to thrive, and the infrastructure the resources and transportation system. The region is stronger when the system works together.

There are about 350 recommendations within the plan. Craig Raborn went over a couple of them and were chosen to highlight the topics, how they apply in today's context and to get a sense of all the relative issues that are touched upon by the SNS plan. The plans of limitation strategy are set up in a typical format. It has themes and under the themes are goals and with each goal there are objectives and for each objective there are a few recommendations. The first example is an objective in investing and maintain infrastructure so that it meets the needs of the diversified economy. The specific recommendation is to access the need for an implemented infrastructure that can support a diversified economy and then to recommend updates to land use and transportation plans. This is a long-term outcome and cannot be done in 6 to 12 months, but it builds and strengthens for the region. This objective has a lead identified, it is the Las Vegas Global Economic Alliance and other participants include the local governments as well as the RTC. They identified minimal fiscal impact for this objective and a time frame of 3 to 6 years. What can be touched on with the objective is SNS has been talking with the City of Henderson and Nevada Department of Transportation about interchanges to I-15 and West Henderson, this will not be implemented in the near term of an economic recovery, but it has been identified as a long term for the region and Henderson's economic development.

The RTC's regional planning activities now are intended to make them a resource for the stakeholders that are implementing or preparing to implement their parts of the SNS plan. The activities include adapting their current work program so that those projects have more relevance to some of the unanticipated needs that Southern Nevada is about to face. The plan is not a mandate and it is important to remember that the implementation can be flexible based on current needs. Start identifying new opportunities. Soon it will be time for stakeholders across the region to ask what they don't want to go back to normal. Then work as a region to start figuring out the ways to reboot to keep the things they want and reduce the things and outcomes they don't want. The RTC and Regional Planning team can help with engagement and help to ask questions that matter to people and try to provide as much assistance to the local stakeholders. Measure what matters trying to focus their efforts on measuring and tracking the long term outcomes the region has identified and then identify that to work with stakeholders on and most effectively address those needs. Another activity and important part of making a regional plan useful and relevant is prioritizing the recommendations. The MPO team will reach out and work with any of the stakeholders to identify the recommendations that are most important to them, help them prioritize, and help them to develop a strategy along with them over the next few years or beyond.

Commissioner Jones asked if there were any specific recommendations from the SNS plan that had they been implemented better or further along in implementation that would have made it less likely this current economic crisis wouldn't have been as devastating?

Craig Raborn stated that it hard to answer with a hypothetical and he is inclined to say that there could have been things implemented by almost everyone. In hindsight, may have been able to keep the region a bit more resilient. The reality is that the impact Southern Nevada is dealing with is being felt all over the country.

Councilman Knudsen stated that given the Southern Nevada Strong plan and Mr. Raborn's expertise in regional planning, do you have thoughts around projects of regional significance and the role of the SNRPC?

Craig Raborn thinks that the efforts to coordinate the grant writing would be very helpful for SNRPC to play a role. The SNRPC can be nimble in pulling together the jurisdictions, stakeholders, making decisions, and trying to support the regional level when there are projects and opportunities that have regional significance.

Councilwoman Bridges asked if there has been any discussion on what we don't want to go back to normal?

Craig Raborn stated that they haven't been involved in those discussions yet and it takes time for those to develop.

Commissioner Segerblom asked if they have thought of ways to encourage telecommuting?

Craig Raborn stated that there will be a lot of potential benefits that come out of this as people start addressing the gaps they are starting to find.

**Agenda Item 10. Citizens Participation.** Public comment during this portion of the agenda must be limited to matters within the jurisdiction of the Board. No subject may be acted upon by the Commission that subject is on the agenda and is scheduled for action.

No citizen's participation was made.

### **Agenda Item 11. Adjournment**

The meeting was adjourned at 6:30P.M.





**SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL  
PLANNING COALITION  
AGENDA ITEM #6**

**TYPE OF MEETING:** COALITION BOARD  
**MEETING DATE:** May 26, 2020

<b>SUBJECT</b>	Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup Update
<b>SPONSORED BY</b>	City of North Las Vegas
<b>AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION</b>	Receive a progress report from the Regional Trails and Open Space Workgroup.

**VOTE PROCEDURE (if applicable):**

- Majority
- Super Majority (2/3, for budgets, expenditures, or contracts that create legal obligations)

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

No Impact     Impact    Estimated total:                      Per entity cost:

**BACKGROUND INFORMATION:**

The Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup (ROST) is a workgroup of the SNRPC where the members collaborate on development and promotion of the Southern Nevada Regional Trails and Open Space System referred to as Neon to Nature. The membership includes representatives from 15 different governmental agencies (local, regional and federal). The primary purpose of ROST is to improve quality of life and community character by providing an interconnected trail and open space system that links residential, civic, and commercial business to parks, open spaces and recreational facilities. Inherent in this primary purpose is also a desire to create a healthier population by strengthening the connection between community health and trails.

ROST has been focused on several major projects. Staff will provide a progress report of the workgroup’s activities. Major projects include Vegas Valley Rim Trail Planning and the Neon to Nature regional trails map.

**SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION:**

Workgroup Progress Presentation

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** Receive the report.



SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION AGENDA ITEM #7

TYPE OF MEETING: COALITION BOARD
MEETING DATE: May 26, 2020

Table with 2 columns: Field Name (SUBJECT, SPONSORED BY, AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION) and Value (FY 2020-2021 Work Plan and Budget, Planning Directors, Discuss the FY 2020/2021 Work Plan and Budget. (For possible action))

VOTE PROCEDURE (if applicable):

- Majority
[X] Super Majority (2/3, for budgets, expenditures, or contracts that create legal obligations)

FISCAL IMPACT:

[X] No Impact [ ] Impact Estimated total: N/A Per entity cost: N/A

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Staff has prepared a draft budget for Fiscal Year 2020-2021. The work plan includes on-going projects which are the Regional Open Space Plan (ROST) and CBER/REMI Population Forecast. Due to the limited scope of budget items this fiscal year, there will be a fund balance of approximately \$113,000 beginning July 1, 2020. After deducting the budget work plan of \$63,000 and administrative costs of \$32,801 from the fund balance, a year end balance of approximately \$17,200 is left. As a result, no contributions will be necessary for Fiscal Year 2021.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION:

Budget and Work Plan.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

Approval of the FY 2020-2021 Work Plan and Budget

Contact Information: Name: Mario Bermudez Phone Number: 702.455.5013

**SNRPC**  
**FY 21 Budget (July 1, 2020 - June 30, 2021)**

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	ACTUAL PRIOR YEAR ENDING 06/30/2019	ESTIMATED CURRENT YEAR ENDING 06/30/2020	BUDGET YEAR ENDING 06/30/21 FINAL APPROVED
<b><u>REVENUES</u></b>			
<u>Intergovernmental Revenues</u>			
Clark County	9,500	61,000	
City of Las Vegas	9,500	61,000	
City of North Las Vegas	9,500	61,000	
City of Henderson	9,500	61,000	
City of Boulder	4,750	30,500	
Clark County School District	4,750	30,500	
Misc Income	3,524	1,500	500
Subtotal	51,024	306,500	500
<u>Planning Items</u>			
Regional Open Space Plan Implementation	40,500	19,500	49,500
Strategic Planning	34,913	15,087	
CBER/REMI Population Forecast	12,000	12,000	13,500
Census 2020		200,000	
Subtotal	87,413	246,587	63,000
Salaries & Wages		9,338	19,155
Employee Benefits		5,539	11,543
Services & Supplies (Includes both Admin Support (City of Henderson Web Hosting costs)		1,745	2,103
Capital Outlay			
Subtotal		16,622	32,801
Subtotal Expenditures	87,413	263,209	95,801
Beginning Cash (Fund Balance)- July 1, 2020	106,098	69,710	113,001
Ending Cash (Fund Balance) - June 30, 2021	69,710	113,001	17,700

County Fund 7570  
SNRPC

## SNRPC Work Plan Fiscal Year 2020 – 2021

Task	Regional Open Space Plan Implementation	Sustainability Outreach and Education	CBER/REMI Population Forecast	Conformity Review	Regional Consensus Population Estimates	Land Use Projections	Biennial Air Quality & Transportation Report
<b>Description</b>	Facilitate SNRPC/SNAP collaboration on the Regional Open Space Plan Implementation. -Get Outdoors Nevada Day planning and marketing -Neon to Nature App update -Vegas Valley Rim Trail planning		Partner with the RTC and SNWA to forecast the Valley's population to 2050 using the REMI model	Review plans and projects of regional significance for conformance with the Regional Policy Plan.	Continue to support the GILIS regional land use database and annual consensus population estimates.	Support RTC in preparing projection of land absorption by traffic analysis zone and the land use category for the development of Regional Transportation Plans.	LCB letter
<b>Other Funding Source</b>			27,000 (2/3)				
<b>Projected SNRPC Budget</b>	49,500	0	13,500 (1/3)	0	0	0	0
<b>Source/ Goal</b>	Southern Nevada Strong Regional Policy Plan	Southern Nevada Strong Regional Policy Plan	Southern Nevada Strong Regional Policy Plan	NRS	Southern Nevada Strong Regional Policy Plan	Southern Nevada Strong Regional Policy Plan	NRS
<b>Due Date</b>	Ongoing	Ongoing	Annual	Ongoing	Annual	March 2020	Bi-annual 2018
<b>Lead Agency</b>	North Las Vegas (J. Murphy)	Las Vegas (Velotta)	SNWA (Ayoub)	All	Clark County	RTC (Xie)	DAQ, RTC (Xie)

**SNRPC Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup  
Work Plan and Budget for FY 2020-2021**



Project	Southern Nevada Strong Goal	Proposed Action	Budget
<p><b>Increase Urban Open Space Access</b></p>	<p><b>Invest in Complete Communities</b>  <b>Goal 3: Support Access to healthcare, healthy food, parks and community services.</b></p> <p><b>Objective 3.3</b> Prioritize access to parks, trails, open space, recreational facilities, and opportunities for physical exercise. Short-term goal.</p> <p><b>Sub-Objective 3.3.6</b> – Provide Superior access to the valley’s natural environment. Mid-term goal.</p> <p><b>Sub-Objective 3.3.8</b> – Support the planning and development of the Vegas Valley Rim Trail connecting neighborhoods to open spaces. Mid-term goal.</p> <p><b>Increase Transportation Choices</b>  <b>Goal 2: Connect and Enhance Bike and Pedestrian Facilities throughout the Region</b></p> <p><b>Sub-Objective 2.1.1</b> – Work with RTC to implement a regional system of full multi-modal interconnected arterial and local streets, pathways and bikeways that are integrated with public transit in order to increase mode share</p> <p><b>Goal 3: Develop a Safe, Efficient Road Network that Supports all Transportation Modes</b></p>	<p><b>Continued coordination of Regional Open Space &amp; Trails Workgroup.</b></p> <p>Includes facilitated monthly general meetings of entire group, communications and special project meetings with participants as required. In addition to monthly meetings, distribution of materials, and one-on-one / smaller group meetings as necessary.</p> <p>Facilitate the identification of trail connections between agencies and the completion of the regional trails system</p> <p>Focus on alignment and development for the Vegas Valley Rim Trail.</p> <p>ROST will engage on the question of how to improve collection of data on trail usage. This will include assessment of potential funding for data collection opportunities.</p> <p>Identify objectives of the Workgroup for the fiscal year.</p> <p>Progress will be documented at the end of the year.</p>	<p>\$12,000</p>

SNRPC Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup  
Work Plan and Budget for FY 2020-2021



Get Outdoors Nevada Day	<p><b>Increase Transportation Choices</b>  <b>Goal 2:</b> Connect and Enhance Bike and Pedestrian Facilities throughout the Region</p> <p><b>Sub-Objective 2.2.7</b> – Celebrate accomplishments through special events and community outreach activities</p> <p><b>Building Capacity for Public Engagement and Equitable Access to Community Engagement</b>  <b>Goal 1:</b> Continue to expand Public Engagement and Equitable Access Opportunities</p>	<p><b>Get Outdoors Nevada Day (GON) Sponsorship</b></p> <p>GON Day celebrates the outdoor places in Southern Nevada, and serves to highlight the tremendous outdoor resources that support a high quality of life.</p> <p>Previous GON Day Events include:  FY 14/15 – Craig Ranch Regional Park, North Las Vegas  FY 15/16 – Sunset Park, Clark County  FY 16/17 – Lorenzi Park, Las Vegas  FY 17/18 – Cornerstone Park, Henderson  FY 18/19 – Wilbur Square, Boulder City  FY 19/20 – Canceled due to COVID-19 Pandemic  FY 20/21 – date and location to be determined.</p>	<p>\$7,500  (Funded FY 19/20 request as carry forward to FY20/21)</p>
Neon to Nature app update	<p><b>Increase Transportation Choices</b>  <b>Goal 2:</b> Connect and Enhance Bike and Pedestrian Facilities throughout the Region  <b>Sub-Objective 2.2.7</b> – Celebrate accomplishments through special events and community outreach activities</p> <p><b>Building Capacity for Public Engagement and Equitable Access to Community Engagement</b>  <b>Goal 1:</b> Continue to expand Public Engagement and Equitable Access Opportunities</p>	<p><b>Neon to Nature app update</b></p> <p>The Neon to Nature app was created in 2014. The app has been downloaded almost 12,000 times, but is now in need of significant updates.</p> <p>The funding would allow retention of a consultant to bring the app to 2020 standards. Enhancements that would be explored would include translation, improved mapping, and comments / review functions.</p>	<p>\$25,000  (Funded FY 19/20 request as carry forward to FY20/21)</p>
Neon to Nature app update	<p><b>Increase Transportation Choices</b>  <b>Goal 2:</b> Connect and Enhance Bike and Pedestrian Facilities throughout the Region</p>	<p><b>Neon to Nature app marketing</b></p> <p>This funding would support a robust social media</p>	<p>\$5,000  (Funded FY 19/20)</p>

**SNRPC Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup  
Work Plan and Budget for FY 2020-2021**



	<p><b>Sub-Objective 2.2.7</b> – Celebrate accomplishments through special events and community outreach activities  <b>Building Capacity for Public Engagement and Equitable Access to Community Engagement</b>  <b>Goal 1:</b> Continue to expand Public Engagement and Equitable Access Opportunities</p>	<p>campaign to complement ongoing efforts by the Southern Nevada Health District and the ROST members. Digital ads and banners would be shared with the public in order to boost awareness of the app.</p>	<p>request as carry forward to FY20/21)</p>
<b>New Funding Request</b>		<b>ROST Meeting Facilitation</b>	<b>\$12,000</b>
<b>Carry forward Funding from FY19/20</b>		<b>GON Day Sponsorship, Neon to Nature App Update &amp; Neon to Nature app marketing</b>	<b>\$37,500</b>
<b>Total Funding</b>			<b>\$49,500</b>



SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION AGENDA ITEM #8

TYPE OF MEETING: COALITION BOARD
MEETING DATE: May 26, 2020

Table with 2 columns: Field Name and Value. Rows include SUBJECT (Regional Open Space and Trails Workgroup Facilitation Agreement), SPONSORED BY (City of North Las Vegas), and AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION (Facilitation Services for the SNRPC Regional Open Space and Trails Workgroup Fiscal Year 2020/2021).

VOTE PROCEDURE (if applicable):

- Majority
Super Majority (2/3, for budgets, expenditures, or contracts that create legal obligations)

FISCAL IMPACT:

No Impact Impact Estimated total: \$12,000 Per entity cost: funded in FY 20/21 budget

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

The Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup (ROST) is a workgroup of the SNRPC where the members work in partnership to develop and promote the Southern Nevada Regional Trail System referred to as Neon to Nature.

The service agreement with the Outside Las Vegas Foundation d.b.a. Get Outdoors Nevada (GON) is to provide meeting facilitation services for the Regional Open Space and Trails Workgroup for Fiscal Year 2020/2021.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION:

Regional Open Space and Trails Workgroup Facilitation Services Contract

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

Approve the Facilitation Services Agreement.

Contact Information: Name: Johanna Murphy Phone Number: 702-633-2200



**AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL  
PLANNING COALITION AND OUTSIDE LAS VEGAS FOUNDATION DOING  
BUSINESS AS GET OUTDOORS NEVADA**

**July 2020 to June 2021**

THIS AGREEMENT (this “Agreement”) is dated this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2020 by and between the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition (hereinafter the “SNRPC”), having its principal office at 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada 89155, and Outside Las Vegas Foundation, doing business as Get Outdoors Nevada, a Nevada non-profit corporation (the “Services Provider”) having its principal office at 21 North Pecos Road, Suite 106, Las Vegas, NV 89101.

**RECITALS**

A. The SNRPC desires that the Regional Open Space & Trails Workgroup (“ROST”) meetings have consistent coordination and facilitation to ensure clear communications among the participating agencies and jurisdictions.

B. The Services Provider is experienced in and qualified to provide these services.

C. The SNRPC desires to have the Services Provider perform facilitation and coordination services as described in the attached Scope of Work, and the Services Provider agrees to such performance, upon the terms and conditions described in this Agreement.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, upon good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the SNRPC and the Services Provider agree to the following terms, conditions and covenants:

**SECTION ONE  
RESPONSIBILITY OF SERVICES PROVIDER**

The Services Provider has the following responsibilities:

1.1. The Services Provider shall be responsible for the professional quality, technical accuracy, timely completion, and coordination of all services furnished by the Services Provider, and by any of the principals, officers, employees and agents of Services Provider or any subconsultant under this Agreement.

1.2. The Services Provider shall designate Mauricia Baca, Executive Director of the Outside Las Vegas Foundation, as Project Manager. All of the responsibilities of the Services Provider specified by this Agreement shall be performed by the Project Manager or by the Services Provider’s officers, employees, and subconsultants under the personal supervision of the Project Manager.

1.3. The Services Provider agrees that its officers and employees will cooperate with the SNRPC in the performance of its obligations under this Agreement and will be available for consultation with the SNRPC within a reasonable time with advance notice from a request from Johanna Murphy or her authorized designee.

1.4. The Services Provider shall not reproduce, display, or otherwise use the name, logo or any other intellectual property, or any other real or personal property of the SNRPC without the SNRPC's prior written consent.

1.5. The Services Provider shall not send any correspondence related to the Project to a third party without the SNRPC's prior written approval.

## **SECTION TWO RESPONSIBILITY OF SNRPC**

The SNRPC has the following responsibilities:

2.1. The SNRPC will cooperate with the Services Provider in the performance of this Agreement and will be available for consultation with the Services Provider at such reasonable times with advance notice as to not conflict with their other responsibilities.

2.2. In the event the SNRPC is required to review or respond to any submissions from the Services Provider, the SNRPC will conduct its review and/or provide its response within thirty (30) days following receipt by the SNRPC of the Services Provider's submissions. The SNRPC's review of such submissions does not relieve the Services Provider of responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of such submissions.

2.3. The SNRPC shall assemble selected data and information related to the Project and provide the same to the Services Provider. The data and information to be provided by the SNRPC is identified as follows:

A. Electronic files regarding locations of trails, names, and alignments (pdf) (If requested);

B. SNRPC logo for use in ROST work plan projects (as appropriate);

C. Other information as necessary and relevant to the facilitation of the ROST Work Group (if requested).

2.4. The Services Provider shall be responsible for updating this data and information during the Project, and shall be responsible for acquiring supplemental data and information which the Services Provider deems necessary.

### **SECTION THREE SCOPE OF WORK**

3.1. The Services Provider shall perform all services as detailed in the attached Scope of Work described on the attached Exhibit A, which is hereby incorporated by this reference.

3.2. No additional compensation shall be paid, and no increase in the time of performance shall be awarded, to the Services Provider for changes to the Scope of Work without the prior written authorization of the SNRPC to proceed with such changes.

3.3. No additional compensation shall be paid to Services Provider for additional costs incurred by, or delay caused by, the Services Provider or any subconsultant, officer, employee, or agent of the Services Provider.

### **SECTION FOUR PAYMENT TERMS**

4.1. Subject to any provisions of this Agreement concerning payment, the SNRPC shall pay the Services Provider for the entirety of the Scope of Work an amount not to exceed Twelve Thousand Dollars and no/100 (\$12,000.00), which amount shall be paid pursuant to this Section. The Services Provider shall be paid \$1,000 per month for these services. The Services Provider shall submit monthly invoices on or before every 5<sup>th</sup> day of the month for the previous month's services.

4.2. Payment to the Services Provider shall be made within thirty (30) days after the SNRPC receives an invoice provided by the Services Provider to the SNRPC, provided that such invoice is complete, correct, and undisputed by the SNRPC, and that it contains all of the information requested by the SNRPC.

### **SECTION FIVE REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES**

5.1. The Services Provider represents and warrants the following:

A. The Services Provider is a duly formed and validly existing Nevada non-profit corporation and is in good standing pursuant to the laws of the State of Nevada, and has the full power, authority and legal right to execute, deliver and perform under this Agreement.

B. The Services Provider shall require that each agent or subconsultant performing any portion of the Scope of Work shall be required to comply with all obligations of the Services Provider to the SNRPC under this Agreement.

5.2 In the performance of services under this Agreement, the Services Provider and any other person employed by it shall be deemed to be an independent contractor and not an agent or employee of the SNRPC. Nothing contained in this Agreement or any subcontract awarded by the Services Provider shall create a partnership, joint venture or agency with the SNRPC. Neither party shall have the right to obligate or bind the other party in any manner to any third party.

5.3 The representations and warranties made by the Services Provider survive the termination or expiration of the Agreement.

## **SECTION SIX INSURANCE**

6.1 The Services Provider shall procure and maintain at all times during the performance of the Project, at its own expense, the following insurances:

A. Workers' Compensation Insurance as required by applicable legal requirements, covering all persons employed in connection with the matters contemplated hereunder and with respect to whom death or injury claims could be asserted against the SNRPC or the Services Provider.

B. Service Provider shall maintain Comprehensive General Liability (bodily injury and property damage) insurance in a policy limit of not less than \$1,000,000 for combined single limit per occurrence. Such General Liability insurance policy shall be endorsed to include the SNRPC as an additional insured.

6.2 Services Provider shall deliver certificates of insurance indicating that such insurance is in effect to the SNRPC before work is begun under this Agreement. If the Services Provider is underwritten on a claims-made basis, the retroactive date shall be prior to or coincident with the date of this Agreement, and the certificate of insurance shall state that coverage is claims-made and the retroactive date. The Services Provider shall provide the SNRPC with 30-day advance written notice of policy cancellation of any insurance policy required to be maintained by the Services Provider.

6.3 All insurance policies required hereunder, and all renewals, shall be provided by a company or companies authorized to do business in Nevada and shall expressly:

A. Waive subrogation against the SNRPC, its officers, agents, servants and employees;

B. Provide that they are primary and noncontributing with any insurance which the SNRPC may carry;

C. Include or be endorsed to cover the Services Provider's contractual liability to the SNRPC;

D. Disclose all deductibles and self-insured retentions in the Certificate of Insurance. No deductible or self-insured retention may exceed \$250,000 without the written approval of the SNRPC.

## **SECTION SEVEN EVENT OF DEFAULT**

7.1. As used herein, "Event of Default" means any willful or intentional misconduct, fraud, or misrepresentation by the Services Provider, or any breach of this Agreement, which the Services Provider fails to remedy within thirty (30) days after written notice to the Services Provider.

7.2. A breach of this Agreement includes, without limitation, any of the following: (a) if the Services Provider is unable to obtain or maintain any governmental authorizations necessary to perform its obligations under this Agreement, (b) if the Services Provider fails to provide the SNRPC with proof of insurance coverage as required by this Agreement, (c) if any resume, statement of qualifications or representations and warranties of the Services Provider, given to the SNRPC by the Services Provider, was materially false when given, and (d) any Event of Default.

## **SECTION EIGHT TERMINATION**

8.1. The SNRPC may terminate this Agreement, with or without cause, upon fourteen (14) calendar days' prior written notification of the termination to the Services Provider. Notification to the Services Provider of such termination shall be sent by the SNRPC in accordance with Section 10. Upon such termination, the SNRPC agrees to pay the Services Provider the reasonable value for all work and services performed to the date of termination.

8.2. The SNRPC may, upon written notice to the Services Provider, terminate this Agreement immediately "for cause" upon an Event of Default. Upon such termination, all rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall cease and the SNRPC shall not be liable to the Services Provider for any cost or expense for preparing for the Project from the date of default.

## **SECTION NINE INDEMNIFICATION**

Notwithstanding any of the insurance requirements in Section 6, the Services Provider shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the SNRPC, and its officers, agents and employees, from any liabilities, claims, damages, losses, expenses, proceedings,



11.3. Assignment of this Agreement to a subconsultant by the Services Provider without the prior written consent of the SNRPC is void and a breach of this Agreement.

11.4. The failure to enforce or the delay in enforcement of any provision of this Agreement by one of the parties is not a waiver of such provision or right unless such party expressly waives such provision or right in writing.

11.5. If any term of this Agreement is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void or unenforceable, all remaining terms of this Agreement not held invalid, void or unenforceable shall continue in full force and effect. The provisions of this clause shall not prevent this entire Agreement from being void should a provision which is of the essence of this Agreement be determined void.

11.6. In the event any action is commenced by either party against the other in connection herewith, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its costs but not attorneys' fees. This Section 11.6 shall survive the expiration of this Agreement until the applicable statutes of limitation expire.

11.7. This Agreement constitutes the entire Agreement between the parties regarding the Project and supersedes all prior representations, agreements and understandings of the parties. No addition to or modification of this Agreement shall be binding unless executed in writing by the parties hereto.

11.8. Time is of the essence in the performance of this Agreement and all of its terms, provisions, covenants and conditions.

11.9. All diagrams, address lists, photographs, videos, images or other like documents given, prepared or assembled by the Services Provider or any subcontractor that are related to the performance of this Agreement are deemed to be a "Work Made for Hire" and are the property of the SNRPC, except to the extent such is not allowed by applicable legal requirements.

11.10. In the event this Agreement is terminated, all rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall cease, other than indemnity obligations and other matters that by their terms survive the termination.

11.11 It is not intended by any of the provisions of this Agreement to create for the public, or any member thereof, a third-party beneficiary right or remedy, or to authorize anyone to bring a suit to enforce the terms of this Agreement, or to maintain a suit for personal injuries or property damages pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, the SNRPC and the Services Provider have caused this Agreement to be executed the day and year first above written.

SNRPC,

a Nevada coalition of public entities.

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
SNRPC Chairperson

Attest:

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
SNRPC Project Manager

OUTSIDE LAS VEGAS  
FOUNDATION, DOING BUSINESS AS  
GET OUTDOORS NEVADA,  
a Nevada non-profit

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: Mauricia Baca  
Title: Executive Director

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date



## **EXHIBIT A**

### **SCOPE OF WORK**

#### **SNRPC - ROST COORDINATION AND FACILITATION**

#### **SCOPE OF WORK**

**JULY 2020 THRU JUNE 2021**

#### **SCOPE OF WORK**

The Services Provider shall:

- ROST General Meetings are to be held on a monthly basis either in person or through conference call / video conference, unless otherwise agreed to by the ROST members and the ROST Chair.
- Schedule and facilitate and special project meetings as necessary.
- Identify objectives of the Workgroup for the fiscal year. While the SNRPC Regional Open Space Plan establishes an action plan, this was not completed in collaboration with federal agencies, which may have alternative objectives or priorities. The Services Provider will revisit the Action Plan with the entire group and establish objectives for the upcoming year.
- Communicate meetings to participants.
- Maintain ROST email list for communications.
- Develop agenda for ROST meetings.
- Provide a forum for sharing information regarding ongoing open space and trails planning processes.
- Facilitate the identification of trail connections between agencies and the completion of the regional trails system. Assist in the identification of projects for upcoming SNPLMA funding rounds that meet regional open space planning objectives.
- Prepare trail and open space materials for posting on the Neon to Nature, SNRPC and other agency websites as appropriate.
- Work with partners to update the inventory and monitoring of trails to assess use and impacts of Neon to Nature Trail System.
- Maintain meeting notes and distribute notes to participants.
- Meet with individual ROST members as necessary to accomplish work plan project tasks.
- Respond to information requests from ROST members and participating jurisdictions, agencies and stakeholders.
- Provide biannual update to established Boards and Committees in order to demonstrate progress and solicit input from community leaders.
- Update materials as needed.



SOUTHERN NEVADA REGIONAL PLANNING COALITION AGENDA ITEM #9

TYPE OF MEETING: COALITION BOARD
MEETING DATE: May 26, 2020

Table with 2 columns: Field Name and Description. Rows include SUBJECT (Role(s) and structure of the SNRPC), SPONSORED BY (Planning Directors), and AGENDA ITEM DESCRIPTION (Discuss the role(s) and structure for the future of the SNRPC. (For possible action))

VOTE PROCEDURE (if applicable):

- [X] Majority
[ ] Super Majority (2/3, for budgets, expenditures, or contracts that create legal obligations)

FISCAL IMPACT:

[ ] No Impact [ ] Impact Estimated total: N/A Per entity cost: N/A

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

Over the past 1-1/2 years, the Coalition Board has discussed the role and structure of the SNRPC. Because new members have joined the Coalition Board, this workshop will allow for continued discussion. Potential roles could include, but are not limited to, options such as a forum for emerging regional issues, an advocacy group to raise awareness and create solutions for regional concerns and/or a council to address regional planning initiatives and implementation. Potential structural changes may address meeting frequency, staffing, budget and priority topics.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION:

None.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

Direct staff accordingly to implement any desired strategies.

Contact Information: Name: Mario Bermudez Phone Number: 702.455.5013